Using Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption Ionization-Time of Flight (MALDI-TOF) Complemented with Selected 16S rRNA and gyrB Genes Sequencing to Practically Identify Clinical Important Viridans Group Streptococci (VGS)
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There are challenges in viridans group streptococci (VGS) identification especially for the mitis group. Few studies have investigated the performance of MALDI-TOF MS system in VGS identification. Using 16S rRNA gene and gyrB gene sequencing as a gold standard, the performance of two MALDI-TOF MS instruments in the identification of 181 VGS clinical isolates was studied. The Bruker Biotyper and Vitek MS IVD systems correctly identified 88.4% and 98.9% of the 181 isolates, respectively. The Vitek MS RUO system was the least reliable, only correctly identifying 38.7% of the isolates to species level with several misidentifications and invalid results. The Bruker Biotyper system was very unreliable in the identification of species within the mitis group. Among 22 non-pneumococci isolates (S. mitis/S. oralis/S. pseudopneumoniae), Biotyper misidentified 21 of them as S. pneumoniae leading to a low sensitivity and low positive predictive value in these species. In contrast, the Vitek MS IVD demonstrated a better resolution for pneumococci and non-pneumococci despite the inability to distinguish between S. mitis/S. oralis. For more accurate species-level identification, further improvements in the VGS spectra databases are needed. Based on MALDI-TOF analysis and selected 16S rRNA gene plus gyrB genes sequencing, we designed a practical VGS identification algorithm.
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INTRODUCTION

The viridans group streptococci (VGS) are a heterogeneous group of gram positive cocci, which form part of the normal human flora of the oral cavity, respiratory, urogenital, and gastrointestinal tracts (Spellberg and Brandt, 2011). Currently, VGS is subdivided into six major groups: S. anginosus, S. bovis, S. mitis, S. mutans, S. salivarius, and S. sanguinis (Facklam, 2002; Doern and Burnham, 2010). Accurate identification of species within the VGS group is important for assessing the clinical significance of the organism and to facilitate appropriate antimicrobial therapy (Sinner and Tunkel, 2009; Doern and Burnham, 2010). However, due to constant taxonomic changes in the VGS group, identification of species is challenging. No phenotypic identification method can be used as a gold standard for VGS as most methods, including API Strep, and Vitek 2, have only 30–80% identification accuracy, depending on the species (Ikryannikova et al., 2011; Teles et al., 2011).

Sequence analysis targeting different single genes such as 16S rRNA gene, rpOa, rpmB, rmpB, rodA, sodA, and gdh, have been used in the identification of VGS species with various degrees of success (Poyart et al., 1998; Ip et al., 2006; Westling et al., 2008; Konishi et al., 2009; Nielsen et al., 2009; Park et al., 2010). Currently, only multilocus sequence analysis (MLSA) can accurately and reliably identify species within the VGS group. But MLSA is too expensive and laborious for routine laboratory diagnostic use (Bishop et al., 2009). Recently, Galloway-Peña et al. reported that the gyrB amino acid sequence may offer a more practical and accurate method for speciating invasive VGS strains than MLSA (Galloway-Pena et al., 2014).

In recent years, matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight (MALDI-TOF) has emerged as a rapid and cost-effective alternative assay for bacterial identification (Seng et al., 2009; Bizzini et al., 2010; Neville et al., 2011). Nevertheless, some studies indicate that this assay has problems in distinguishing species within the S. mitis group (Ikryannikova et al., 2011; Davies et al., 2012; Wessels et al., 2012).

We investigated the performance of two MALDI-TOF MS systems, namely Bruker Biotyper (Daltonics, German) and Vitek MS (bioMérieux, France) in the identification of species within the VGS group, using sequencing of the 16S rRNA, and gyrB genes as a gold standard.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial Strains and Cultures

Clinically significant VGS isolates (n = 181) from sputum (n = 81), blood cultures (n = 29), tracheal aspirates (n = 11), midstream urine (n = 9), and other various sterile and non-sterile sites (n = 51) from Peking Union Medical College Hospital (PUMCH; 2013–2014), were studied (Supplementary Table S1). Initial identification of these isolates was done by conventional methods (positive Gram stain, cocci morphology in chains, alpha-hemolysis, and a negative catalase test) and Vitek 2 compact system. Optochin and bile solubility tests were performed to differentiate pneumococci from non-pneumococci. The isolates were stored at −70°C in skim milk until further testing.

Gene Sequencing-Based Identification

Template DNA was prepared as described by. Dubois et al. (2013). The 16S rRNA gene was amplified for all the isolates using the universal primers 27F 5′-AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG-3′ and 1492R 5′-TACGGYTACCTTGTGCTCAG-3′ (Seng et al., 2009). Purified PCR products and sequencing primers (the same as for amplification) were mixed and sent to Ruibiotech (Beijing, China) for sequencing. Species identification was performed by comparing the obtained sequences against those in the GenBank database using BLASTn (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast). A sequence similarity of 99% was applied as species identification “cut-off” value for the 16S rRNA gene region. Amplification and sequencing of the gyrB gene encoding DNA Gyrase subunit B was performed for all the non-pneumococci using primers gyrB7F 5′-GAAGTGDGIAARAYACBAY CG-3′ and gyrB5R 5′-ACATCDGCATCRGCAT-3′ (Maeda et al., 2011). Both the gyrB nucleotide and amino acid sequences were analyzed through BLASTn and BLASTp and a nucleotide sequence similarity of 96% or a signature amino acid at a certain position was applied as species identification standard according to Galloway-Pena et al. (2014). Besides, the phylogenetic trees were generated based on 16S rRNA gene and gyrB gene. The region used for phylogenetic analyses and species identification was nucleotides 86–1336 for 16S rRNA gene and nucleotides 1113–1512, corresponding to amino acids 371–503 for gyrB gene respectively. Following alignment with Clustal W, the sequences were analyzed in MEGA version 5.2 to create radial trees using the neighbor-joining statistical method and the maximum likelihood composite model.

MALDI-TOF Analysis

Two MALDI-TOF MS systems, Bruker Biotyper and Vitek MS, including both the In Vitro Diagnosis (IVD) and Research Use Only (RUO) modes were used to identify the 181 VGS isolates, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For both MALDI-TOF MS systems, direct transfer method were used for sample preparation. A small portion of a single colony after 24 or 48 h of incubation was smeared onto a target plate using a wooden cocktail stick, and covered with 1 µl matrix solution (α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid in 50 acetonitrile/2.5% trifluoroacetic acid, Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany; α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid, VITEK® MS CHCA) immediately. For Bruker Biotyper, measurements were performed with the Bruker Biotyper MALDI-TOF MS system using FlexControl software with Compass Flex Series version 1.3 software and a 60-Hz nitrogen laser (337 nm wavelength). Spectra ranging from 2000 to 20,000 m/z were analyzed using the MALDI Biotyper system’s automation control and the current Bruker Biotyper V.3.3.1.2 software and...
library [database (DB) 5989 with 5989 entries]. For Vitek MS, measurement was performed using the manufacturer's suggested settings using automated collecting spectra. Captured spectra (mass range of 2–20 kDa in the linear mode) were analyzed using the MALDI-TOF MS IVD MYLA database v2.0 and also the MALDI-TOF MS RUO system with the SARAMIS™ database v4.10 of bioMérieux. All identifications displaying a single result with a confidence score ≥1.7 or a confidence value of 99.9% were considered acceptable for Bruker Biotyper MS and Vitek MS, respectively (Karpanoja et al., 2014). For both MS systems, all isolates yielding a single result without acceptable confidence level or multiple results or “no identification” results were re-tested. If a single, species-level identification was obtained upon repeat analysis, this identification was considered to be the final MS result; otherwise no further analysis was performed.

Statistical Analysis
Agreement and validity values were calculated with a 95% confidence interval (CI) based on an exact binomial distribution. Data were analyzed using SPSS, version 15.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS

The 16S rRNA Gene and GyrB Gene Sequencing-Based Identification
Using a combination of 16S rRNA gene and gyrB gene sequencing, all the 181 VGS isolates were assigned to species level on the basis of a ≥99 and ≥96% gene sequence similarity with published sequences in the GenBank. The DNA sequences of the 16S rRNA gene and gyrB gene of the 181 VGS isolates studied have been submitted to GenBank database (accession numbers of KX661043–KX661223 for 16S rRNA gene and KX661224–KX661319 for gyrB gene respectively (Supplementary Table S2). Figure 1 shows the phylogenetic trees generated by gyrB gene and 16S rRNA gene. As is shown in Figure 1A, gyrB nucleotide sequence successfully delineated all of the 96 non-pneumococci strains into individual species branches while in Figure 1B, all of the S. oralis isolates were branched with S. mitis isolates by 16S rRNA sequences. Besides, the bootstrap values between the mitis group were rather low compared to gyrB gene, which emphasizes the difficulties of using 16S gene sequences to correctly assign VGS strains to particular species. The identification results of the 181 VGS isolates are summarized in Supplementary Tables S3, S4, and S5, using gene sequencing as the gold standard.

A high level of similarity in the 16S rRNA gene sequences of S. pneumoniae, S. pseudopneumoniae, S. mitis, and S. oralis, which could make it difficult in distinguishing these species, has been reported (Kawamura et al., 1995; Arbique et al., 2004; Suzuki et al., 2005; Haanpera et al., 2007). Nevertheless, a recent study by Scholz et al. demonstrated that S. pneumoniae has a cytosine at position 203 of the 16S rRNA gene, while all other mitis group streptococci have adenine in that position (Scholz et al., 2012). In this study, we also checked position 203 of the 16S rRNA gene sequences, and confirmed that 85 isolates were pneumococci and the other 96 isolates non-pneumococci.

MALDI-TOF Analysis
Compared to the gold standard, the Bruker Biotyper system correctly identified 88.4% (160/181) of the isolates to species level and misidentified 11.6% (21/181) of the isolates. This system performed poorly in the identification of species in...
the mitis group, correctly identifying 80.4% (86/107) of the isolates to species level and misidentifying 19.6% (21/107) of the isolates. Optochin tests were performed on all isolates with an identification result of *S. pneumoniae* in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂, among which 85 isolates were sensitive and the other 21 were resistant. Specifically, 100 (11/11), 100 (2/2), and 88.9% (8/9) of *S. mitis, S. oralis*, and *S. pseudopneumoniae* isolates were misidentified as *S. pneumoniae*, respectively. For all other groups, the Bruker Biotyper system performed excellently, accurately identifying all the species within each of the respective groups. Furthermore, the system did not yield an invalid or “no identification result” on any isolates (Supplementary Table S3).

Vitek MS IVD correctly identified 98.9 (179/181) of the VGS isolates to species level, 0.55 (1/181) to group level, and 0.55% (1/181) with no identification. In contrast to the Bruker MS system which performed dismally in the identification of *S. pseudopneumoniae* isolates, the Vitek MS IVD correctly identified the majority (8 of 9, 88.9%) of these isolates to species level and the remaining one isolate to group level (Supplementary Table S4). Furthermore, the Vitek MS IVD gave a “no identification” result on one isolate within the bovis group (*S. gallolyticus*).

In comparison to Bruker Biotyper and Vitek MS IVD, the Vitek MS RUO system performed very poorly in VGS identification, with an overall correct species level, group level, and genus level identification rate of 38.7 (70/181), 42.0 (76/181), and 7.2% (13/181) respectively (Supplementary Table S5). The system especially performed poorly in identifying species within the mitis and bovis groups, with only 15.9 (17/107) and 25% (2/8) of the isolates correctly identified, albeit low number of isolates. In addition, 8.8 (16/181) and 3.3% (6/181) of the isolates studied were misidentified and yielded a “no identification” result, respectively.

### Three MALDI-TOF Systems Comparisons

Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV) of the three databases are shown in Table 1. Overall, Bruker Biotyper gave a 100% sensitivity for the majority of the species identified, except for the mitis group species, namely *S. mitis* (0%), *S. oralis* (0%), and *S. pseudopneumoniae* (11.1%), most of which were misidentified as *S. pneumoniae* (21 of 22, 95.6%). Furthermore, PPV was incalculable for *S. mitis* and *S. oralis* as none of these isolates were correctly identified by the Bruker Biotyper. In contrast to other species in the mitis group, *S. pneumoniae* had a 100% (95 CI: 95.8–100.0%) sensitivity and a 100% (95 CI: 95.5–100.0%) NPV, while the specificity and PPV were relatively low, at 78.1% (95 CI:68.5–85.9%) and 80.2% (95 CI:71.3–87.3%), respectively.

Compared with Bruker Biotyper, Vitek MS IVD gave a better resolution for *S. mitis/S. oralis* identification with a sensitivity of 100% (95 CI: 75.3–100%) though the two species couldn’t be distinguished from each other due to database limitation. Similarly, the overall sensitivity for *S. pseudopneumoniae* identification [77.8% (95 CI: 40.0–97.2%)] was higher than that of Bruker Biotyper [11.1% (95 CI: 0.3–48.3%)].

Expectedly, Vitek MS RUO showed low sensitivity for the identification of most species, except for *S. anginosus, S. intermedius, S. sanguinis, S. gordonii*, and *S. salivarius.*

### DISCUSSION

Currently, only three studies have compared the performance of Bruker Biotyper vs. Vitek MS for VGS identification, with two of the studies analyzing a limited number of blood culture isolates (Karpanoja et al., 2014; Angeletti et al., 2015; Isaksson et al., 2015). In two of the studies, 16S rRNA gene and *rpoB* gene sequencing were used as a gold standard, while the third study didn’t have a gold standard. Although the species/group distributions were different in each of these three studies, they all concluded that apart from the misidentification of other species as *S. pneumoniae* by Bruker Biotyper, the MALDI-TOF technique offers a reliable, rapid and cost saving method for VGS identification.

We evaluated the performance of two MALDI-TOF MS systems in VGS identification with a larger number of VGS species and a wider sample type base, using a combination of 16S rRNA gene and *gyrB* sequencing as a gold standard. Overall, the Vitek MS IVD system performed better than the Bruker Biotyper, accurately identifying 98.9% of the 181 VGS isolates, compared to 88.4% for Bruker Biotyper (*P < 0.05*). The lower overall performance of the Bruker Biotyper MS was due to misidentification of species within the mitis group, with 21 non-pneumococcal isolates misidentified as *S. pneumoniae*, which is in agreement with previous studies (Ikryannikova et al., 2011, 2013; Lopez Roa et al., 2013). Notably, we evaluated for the first time, the performance of Vitek MS RUO system though the results were not satisfying. However, this database covers rare VGS species not included in the Vitek MS IVD system (Karpanoja et al., 2014).

The superior performance of Vitek MS IVD in distinguishing *S. pneumoniae* from other mitis group species may be due to use of bin-weighting algorithms in species identification. The system identifies significant peaks of sample isolates and divides them into bins that are weighted according to frequency within a given species. Then the sums of bin weights are calculated using the advanced spectrum classifier algorithm to determine the best match, which may enhance sensitivity (Rychert et al., 2013). In contrast, Bruker Biotyper uses a single reference strain to generate multiple spectra and chooses a consensus spectra based on the reference spectra. After this, matching signals of the sample spectra are compared to the reference spectra and a score value is created (Welker, 2011).

Based on our findings, we designed an identification algorithm for the best way to identify species within the VGS group (Figure 2). Laboratories with either of the instruments could refer to this algorithm easily. For Bruker Biotyper MS system, strains with identification scores <1.7 must be re-tested since VGS are very homologous species. Any identification of *S. pneumoniae* even with a score of >2.0, should be discounted, optochin test and gene-based analysis need to be performed for confirmation. For Vitek MS, initial identification results with a
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolate</th>
<th>Bruker Biotyper MS system</th>
<th>Vitek MS IVD system</th>
<th>Vitek MS RUO system</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Se (%)</td>
<td>Sp (%)</td>
<td>PPV (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitis group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. mitis</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. oralis</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. pseudopneumoniae</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. pneumoniae</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>78.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anginosus group</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. anginosus</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. constellatus</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. intermedius</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanguinis group</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. sanguinis</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. gordonii</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salivarius group</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. salivarius</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bovis group</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. lutentensis</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. gallocystis</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Se, sensitivity; Sp, specificity; PPV, positive predictive value; NPV, negative predictive value; IC, incalculable.

Group values of Se, Sp, PPV and NPV are in bold formats.
FIGURE 2 | An identification testing algorithm for viridans group streptococci (VGS) based on the Bruker Biotyper MS system/Vitek MS system and selective molecular identification (see Supplementary Tables S3 and S4).

a For Bruker Biotyper MS system, strains with identification scores <1.7 must be re-tested.

b Any identification of S. pneumoniae even with a score of >2.0, should be preliminary and then do further sequencing for the high incorrect identification rate.

Gene-based analysis confirmed that 85 out of the 106 isolates were S. pneumoniae while the rest are non-S. pneumoniae (Supplementary Table S3).

c For Vitek MS, initial identification results with a confidence value <99.9% for a single isolate or with multiple/no identification results, must be repeated (Supplementary Table S4).

Study limitations include possible selection bias as isolates were from a single center, imbalance in group/species distribution of isolates, with some groups and species poorly represented, e.g., the bovis and salivarius groups. And finally, for uniformity, protein extraction step was not performed for all assays.

SUMMARY

This study shows that both the Bruker Biotyper and the Vitek MS IVD systems can provide a good alternative to phenotypic methods for VGS identification. However, further improvements in the data bases are needed to increase the identification accuracy. In the mean-time, gene-based sequencing remains the best way to correctly identify VGS species. The proposed integrated algorithm is a practical approach in VGS identification at this stage.
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